NORRIS, McLaughlin & Marcus

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

721 Route 202-206 P.O. Box 1018 Somerville, NJ 08876-1018 (908) 722-0700 Facsimite: (908) 722-0755

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

April 6, 2004

TO:

Examiner Krystyna Suchecki, Group Art Unit 2882

TELEFAX NUMBER:

571-273-2495

PHONE NUMBER:

571-272-2495

COMPANY/FIRM:

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

FROM:

Davy E. Zoneraich, Esq.

Client/Matter Name:

Client/Matter Number:

PCUS-059 (103080-2), U.S. Appln. No. 09/899,329

MESSAGE:

We are transmitting 3 pages, including cover page(s). If the transmission is not complete, please call (908) 722-0700 extension 254 and ask for Lori Goldman.

The original of this transmittal document will be sent by:

Ordinary Mail

E-Mail

Messenger

Overnight Mail

x This is the only form of delivery for this document to your department

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE CRIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request F rm					
Application No.: 09/ Examiner: K. Such	/899,329 Fir heck1	st Named Applicant: J. Po	eter Johns Status of App	n lication: Non-	Final Action
Tentative Participal	nts: eraich	(2)		_	
(3)		(4)			
Proposed Date of la	nterview: 4/1	14/04 Proposed Time	e: 11:00	(AM/PM)	
Type of Interview F (1)] Telephonic	Requested: (2) [x] Per	rsonal (3) [] Video C	Conference		
Exhibit To Be Show	yn or Demonst	trated: [] YES	[¤] NO		
If yes, provide brief	f description:_				-
Issues To Be Discussed					
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej.	1, 23	Logan et al., Nihei, U.S. Patent No. 5,621,841	[]	. []	t 1
(2)			[]	[]	[]
(3)			[]	[]	[]
(4)			[]	[]	[]
[] Continuation Sh	ieet Attached				
Brief Description o		o be Presented:			
See Attached					
An interview was c	onducted on t	he above-identified applicat	tion on	4/14/04	<u>·</u>
NOTE: This form should be	completed by a	pplicant and submitted to the	examiner in ad		
This application will	not be delayed	from issue because of applicar dvised to file a statement of the	nt's failure to su e substance of s	ubmit a written his interview (3	record of this 7 CFR 1.133(b))
as soon as possible.	-2 ablurant 12 gr	4		~//n	
Lam)		rain by	100/80	y UC	
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or rotain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) as application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, proparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time 3 on require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Depurtment of Commerce, P.O. Bux 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1456. DO NOT SEND PERS OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

U.S. S rial No. 09/899,329

The prior art combinations cited by the Examiner do not teach or suggest the claimed loose tube optical fiber cable having, in relevant part, an 85% fill factor in a two inch duct and greater than 1000 optical fibers loosely contained in four or five tubes disposed around a central strength member. The prior art taught away from the claimed loose tube cable, i.e., buffer tubes disposed around a central strength member, to achieve a high fiber count while maintaining the claimed fill factor. See Logan article, of record and cited at page 2, paragraph 2 of specification, which suggests use of single tube and slotted core designs to achieve high fiber count.